

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/720,754	WIRES, DUANE L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Randall (Atty).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

That of record

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claim 18 was rewritten as originally presented; all independent claims were amended to insert recitation that the upper and lower inner edges define a space within which the front mold is removably sealed.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)